Errata for *Active Maintenance: A Proposal for the Long-Term Computational Reproducibility of Scientific Results*

Two corrections to the *PS: Political Science & Politics* article 10.1017/S1049096521000366. Neither changes a conclusion of the article. Every corrected value below is computed at render time from a full reproduction of the published counts, held in the repository `active-maintenance-aec/peer_orr_coppock_2021`. Bold marks the tokens that changed; everything else in each corrected sentence is the published wording.

1. The number of studies the sample was drawn from

> We compiled a list of **all 97** archived studies**, excluded three books,** and then randomly selected 20 studies (Peer, Orr, and Coppock 2021).

Corrected: We compiled a list of **92** archived studies and then randomly selected 20 studies (Peer, Orr, and Coppock 2021).

The sentence implies a sampling frame of 94 studies, 97 less 3 books. The study list the sampling script reads, ISPSDataArchive_StudyList_20191108.csv, has 92 rows, and drawing 20 from that list with the seed and sampler the authors used returns exactly the 20 study ids the deposit carries. The list has no field marking a book. The published 97 and 3 books cannot be reconciled with the 92-row list from the deposited or archived materials, so either the total or the exclusion count is misstated, and the corrected sentence states only what the materials show. The sample of 20, its selection, and every count computed from it are unaffected.

2. A percentage printed one point too high in Table 1

> Resolvable errors, Archive Added Code: 8 (**74**%)

Corrected: Resolvable errors, Archive Added Code: 8 (**73**%)

8 of 11 studies is 72.7 per cent, which rounds to 73. The neighbouring cell, 3 (27%), is 27.3 per cent and is rounded correctly, and the column's two percentages, 27 and 73, then sum to 100. The count of 8 is right.